

Emerging Scholars Blog

InterVarsity's Emerging Scholars
Network



[Graduate School Journey](#) [Finding Work . . .](#) [Scholar's Call](#) [Scholar's Compass](#)

[Book Reviews](#) [ESN at Urbana](#) [About ESN](#)

Nicholas Wolterstorff: Advice to Those Who Would Be Christian Scholars

Aug 7, 2012 / By Micheal Hickerson / 8 comments / Posted in: *Christ and the Academy* / Tagged in: *christian scholarship, Evangelicalism, nicholas wolterstorff, philosophy, Yale*

Even if you have been reading the Emerging Scholars Blog for a while, you may have missed some of the articles we originally published on emergingscholars.org. On an occasional basis, we'll be republishing these articles here on the blog. This article, adapted from a talk given at the University of Tennessee, was originally published on the ESN website in December 2009. Many thanks to InterVarsity's Julian Reese for obtaining permission for us to publish Dr. Wolterstorff's remarks and, of course, to Dr. Wolterstorff for sharing them with us. ~ Mike

*Editor's note from the original article: **Nicholas Wolterstorff**, Noah Porter Professor of Philosophical Theology Emeritus at Yale University, has been one of the leading voices in Christian philosophy for decades. In October 2009, he spoke at the *Veritas Forum* at the University of Tennessee, presenting a talk entitled "*The Role of God in Social**

Scholar's Compass



*a devotional for
academics, by
academics*

Welcome to the official blog of [InterVarsity's Emerging Scholars Network!](#) In publishing on topics such as academic vocation and calling, spiritual formation in the academy, and the integration of theology

Justice” and in conversation with David Reidy on the question “Good Without God? The Problem of Justice and Human Rights”. While he was there, Dr. Wolterstorff spoke to Christian graduate students, and he has graciously allowed us to publish his remarks here.



Nicholas Wolterstorff,
Noah Porter Emeritus
Professor of
Philosophical
Theology at Yale
University

What advice can I give to you whose sights are set on becoming Christian scholars?

My first piece of advice is that you get clear on what you understand by the project of being a Christian scholar. When I travel around and talk to Christians in colleges and universities, and when I read what Christians say about the contemporary university, I over and over come up against one or another of the following three attitudes.

Some assume that what goes on in the contemporary university is pretty much OK as it is, and they look for ways of supplementing that with some distinctly Christian thought and activity. Sometimes this supplementation takes the form of Christian organizations, housed in the region of the university, inviting students to weekly Bible study, to Sunday worship services, to twice-a-year camp-outs, etc. Sometimes it takes the form of Christian scholars adding theology to what goes on in the university, adding biblical scholarship, adding philosophical reflections on the epistemology of religious belief, etc. **This additive or supplemental approach was in fact the basic strategy of most Christian colleges in the U.S. until around thirty years ago.**

Second, some of those who believe that what goes on in the contemporary university is pretty much OK as it is reject the additive approach because they find tension between Christianity as they understand it, and what goes on in the university; **so**

with academic disciplines, we seek to encourage, and equip the next generation of Christian scholars to be a redeeming influence in the academy, church, and the world. Click [here](#) for a Week in Review including our [Facebook Wall posts](#).

In 2014 we gave focused attention to the meaning/purpose of higher ed and how this plays out across disciplines and the "academic ladder". In the fall we launched ***Scholar's Compass*** - a devotional for/by academics.

*Please let us know if you desire to join the community engaged with creating **Scholar's Compass**. In addition, please let us know if you have interest in 1) a collaborative **Theology of Higher Ed** -- general and field specific application (Fall '15 launch), 2) learning more about partnering*

they propose revising Christianity until the tension

disappears. Often this takes the form of what I call a “bandwagon approach.” Some development takes place in one or another of the disciplines, and shortly articles appear arguing that one can be a Christian and accept this new development. Post-modernism appeared, and soon a spate of articles turned up arguing in favor of the compatibility of Christianity and post-modernism. In my own field, John Rawls became popular in political philosophy, and shortly a spate of articles appeared arguing in favor of the compatibility of Christianity and Rawlsianism. Evolutionary psychology turns up, and shortly a spate of articles appears arguing for the compatibility of Christianity and evolutionary psychology. Of course, developments come and go in the disciplines; so the person who adopts a bandwagon approach must be ready to leap off his currently favored bandwagon and onto some new one that comes along. You may assume that it is especially liberal Christians who are ever willing to revise their understanding of Christianity in order to make it compatible with the latest fad in academia; but I find evangelicals often doing the same thing. Let me not conceal the fact that I find this approach disgusting and demeaning; I want to say: Think for yourself!

And third, there are those Christians, usually outside the university, who are content to lob grenades at the contemporary university: preachers, free-lance writers, and the like. The university, they say, is godless, aggressively secular, reductionist, relativist, liberal, post-modern, captive to political correctness – you name it.

It’s my view that there is some element of truth in each of these views; the problem is that each view takes that element of truth and runs with it.

The first position is correct in holding that not everything that goes on in the contemporary university is unacceptable to the Christian; it is quite another thing to assume, however, that basically everything that goes on is acceptable. The second position is correct in holding that sometimes one should revise

*with us in developing and/or in finding opportunities for the growth of **ESN Local**. AND if available on our campus, don't miss the opportunity to become a part an InterVarsity campus fellowship. To God be the glory!*

Search

Subscribe to all the posts

At present we have 5 - 7 posts a week.

Email Address

Subscribe

Recently on Facebook

[Recently on Facebook](#)

This Month's

one's understanding of Christianity in the light of what turns up in some discipline; it is quite another thing to assume, however, that that is always the direction one's revision should take, that one's Christian faith should never lead one to critique some development in the discipline. And the third position is correct in holding that there is a lot of reductionism in the contemporary university, a lot of relativism, and the like. But that is by no means the whole of it; and let me assure you that lobbing grenades from the sidelines will have no effect whatsoever.

So how do I think of the overall project of being a Christian scholar?

To put it in a nutshell, I think the project of being a Christian scholar is **the project of thinking with a Christian mind and speaking with a Christian voice within your chosen discipline and within the academy more generally.**

Let me unpack this a bit. Recently I heard a talk in which the speaker argued that teaching intelligent design is incompatible with the nature of natural science; if intelligent design is to be taught anywhere in the curriculum, it must be taught in philosophy classes. In thus arguing, the speaker was making the common assumption that natural science and philosophy both have an essence, a nature; his claim was that discussion of intelligent design is compatible with the nature of philosophy but incompatible with the nature of natural science.

I think of the various academic disciplines very differently. I think of them as social practices, some, like philosophy, with a long ancestry, some, like molecular biology, of recent origin. And I think of these practices as constantly changing due to all sorts of developments both inside and outside the discipline. I hold, thus, that natural science does not have an essence, nor does philosophy. What they have instead is traditions that are constantly changing, sometimes slowly, sometimes abruptly.

The application relevant to our topic is this: the Christian scholar participates as Christian in those social practices that are the disciplines. Those practices are not a project of the Christian

Most Read Posts

[The Myth of the Evangelical Voter](#)

[Finding a Postdoc in the Sciences: Nailing the Interview](#)

[Once Upon a Time: The Story of Creation Told in the Language of Science](#)

[Win a free ebook: Strong and Weak, by Andy Crouch](#)

[Henri Nouwen: From Loneliness to Solitude](#)

Recent Comments

[mikstelltheolog](#) on [Science in Review: Is God Outside of Time?](#)

[jcneely](#) on [Journalism Notes: Faith in the "Spotlight"](#)

[joshuaswu](#) on [Journalism Notes: Faith in the "Spotlight"](#)

[joshuaswu](#) on [The Myth of the Evangelical Voter](#)

[joshuaswu](#) on [The Myth of the Evangelical Voter](#)

community, nor are they the project of some anti-Christian community. They are human; they belong to all of us together – just as the state is not for Christians nor for non-Christians but for all of us together.

And now to make my opening point again: the mode of the Christian's participation in these on-going, ever-changing, social practices is to think with a Christian mind and to speak with a Christian voice. When engaging in, say, sociology with a Christian mind, one will sometimes find oneself critical of what is going on in some part of sociology: one will find the assumptions being made about human nature mistaken, one will find the emphasis skewed, one will find the issues discussed unimportant, and so forth. One will then find oneself launching a critique of this part of sociology, and beyond that, trying to do it differently and better. At other times, when thinking with a Christian mind one will find what is going on in some part of one's discipline quite OK. Being a Christian scholar requires this sort of discernment.

I mentioned that many different things contribute to those social practices which are the academic disciplines taking the form they do take – new technological developments, for example. Among the most important things shaping the academic disciplines are worldviews. I think the Christian scholar will be especially attentive to those worldviews, and will be especially alert to those points where the discipline-shaping worldview conflicts with the worldview embedded in Christianity.

Those were some comments about thinking with a Christian mind. What about speaking with a Christian voice?

Well, for one thing, **the Christian voice will be a voice of charity**; it will honor all human beings, as Peter puts it in his letter in the New Testament. It will never be abusive. But there is also a more subtle matter to be raised here. The voice with which one speaks must be a voice such that one can be heard – a voice such that one genuinely participates in the dialogue of the discipline. Every now and then, when teaching at Yale, I

The Emerging Scholars Ne
Review
February 28, 2016
Stories
blog.emergingscholars.org
Emerging Scholars Blog
blog.emergingscholars.org

Today's content
World1
Leisure3
Art & Entertainment3
Science2
Education9
Stories5
Read it now!
Updated daily
by **paper.li**

 **[Emerging Scholars Blog »](#)**
[Emerging Scholars Blog](#)

[Not What I'd Planned \(Scholar's Compass\)](#)

[How should Christians approach catechism?](#)

[Science in Review: Is God Outside of Time?](#)

would have a student who did not know how to speak in the voice appropriate to philosophy; invariably this was an evangelical. Evangelicals often interpret the response they get as hostility to evangelicalism, or hostility to Christianity. Sometimes it is that; but not always. Sometimes it is just that the person has not learned to speak in the appropriate voice.

So how do you arrive at the point where you can think with a Christian mind and speak with a Christian voice?

Let me throw out some suggestions, and then open it up for questions.

First, be patient. The Christian scholar may feel in his bones that some part of his discipline rubs against the grain of his Christian conviction, but for years, and even decades, he may not be able to identify precisely the point of conflict; or, if he has identified it, he may not know for years or decades how to work out an alternative. Once he does spy the outlines of an alternative, the Christian scholar has to look for the points on which, as it were, he can pry, those points where he can get his partners in the discipline to say, “Hmm, you have a point there; I’m going to have to go home and think about that.” He doesn’t just preach. He engages in a dialogue – or tries to do so. And that presupposes, once again, that he has found a voice.

Second, to arrive at this point, **the Christian scholar will have to be immersed in the discipline and be really good at it.**

Grenades lobbed by those who don’t know what they are talking about will have no effect. Only those who are learned in the discipline can see the fundamental issues.

Third, to be able to think with a Christian mind about the issues in your discipline, **you have to have a Christian mind.** As I see it, three things are necessary for the acquisition of such a mind.

1. First, you have to be well acquainted with Scripture – not little tidbits, not golden nuggets, but the pattern of biblical thought. Let me add here: beware of the currently popular fad of reducing acquaintance with scripture to worldview

summaries.

2. Second, you need some knowledge of the Christian theological tradition.

3. And third, you have to become acquainted with the riches of the Christian intellectual tradition generally, especially those parts of it that pertain to your own field.

Too often American Christians operate on the assumption that we in our day are beginning anew, or on the assumption that nothing important has preceded us. You and I are the inheritors of an enormously rich tradition of Christian reflection on politics, on economics, on psychology, an enormously rich tradition of art, of music, of poetry, of architecture – on and on it goes. We impoverish ourselves if we ignore this. Part of our responsibility as Christian scholars is to keep those traditions alive.

Fourth, **Christian learning needs the nourishment of communal worship.** Otherwise it becomes dry and brittle, easily susceptible to skepticism.



Micheal Hickerson

The former Associate Director for the Emerging Scholars Network, Micheal lives in Cincinnati with his wife and three children and works as a web manager for a national storage and organization company. He writes about work, vocation, and finding meaning in what you do at [No Small Actors](#).

[More Posts - Website](#)

Follow Me:

